UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/075,888	02/13/2002	Barry P. Falvo	D02603	9314		
43471 Motorola, Inc.	7590 12/08/201	08/2010 EXAMINER				
Patent Operatio	ons Law Department	PENG, FRED H				
600 North US Highway 45 IL93-W2-55BB Libertyville, IL 60048-5343		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
		2426				
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			12/08/2010	ELECTRONIC		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

Docketing.Mobility@motorola.com

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 2 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, PROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Leastmoor to the many be available under the provised and 50 °FCH 1-180°C, in no event, however, may a reply be timely filled. - If NO period for regly is specified above, the resistance sidestory protect will apply and all expire SIX (8) MONTHS from the marting date of this communication. - Failure for regive specified above, the resistance sidestory protect will apply and all expire SIX (8) MONTHS from the marting date of this communication. - Failure for providing the office lister than those months after the mailing date of this communication, even if slinely filed, may refere any search planetine malagrature. See J CR3 • J CR		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
FRED PENG 2426	Office Action Comment	10/075,888	FALVO ET AL.					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address = Period for Repty A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Featurises of time rany be available under the provision of 30 CFR 1:1806, into rount, because runsy a rety be timely filed. If NO period for refy; is apecified above, the meanum situation predot wit apply, and with expire SIX (8) MONTHS from the mating date of this communication. Failur for repty within the ext or exceeded period for riginy will, by status, cases the application become ABMPONED(C) (50 U.S.C. § 133). An justy resolved by the Orthor lister than time months where the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any volunter justified than displayment. See 37 CFR 1:78(3). A profit of the second of the communication is non-final. 3) Shoce this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1:25 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed. 5) Claim(s) 1:26 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1:26 is/are rejected to. 8) Claim(s) 3:27 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 3:28 is/are rejected to. 8) Claim(s) 4:28 is/are rejected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 13 February 2002 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 13 February 2002 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) A choice of drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 12) Acknowledgment is made of a c	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - transcription of interingly be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.35(a), in so event, however, may a retyle be timely flied. - it is not received to reply is periodia drove, the missima in adulatory patient will apply and will expose XIQ (MORTHS from the mailing date of this communication, resent flitted) fried, and other provisions of the communication of the commu		FRED PENG	2426					
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. □ Eleations of time may be available under the provisions of 3°CFR 1.13(b). In no event, however, may a regive be timely filed after 50X (6) (MCNTHS from the mailing date of this communication, 100 of the communication of the communica	The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addr	ress				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 July 2010. 2a	 WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any 							
2a This action is FINAL. 2b This action is non-final. 3 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4 Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application. 4a Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5 Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6 Claim(s) 1-25 is/are rejected. 7 Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8 Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9 The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10 The drawing(s) filed on 13 February 2002 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11 The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some *c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	Status							
2a This action is FINAL. 2b This action is non-final. 3 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-25 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 13 February 2002 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) Note of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 //	h 2010						
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims								
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4)	<i>i</i> —		eccution as to the n	norite ie				
Al) Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 13 February 2002 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(e) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 3 Of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5 Of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Revie								
4) Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 13 February 2002 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 3 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5 Notice of Informati Patent Application	closed in accordance with the practice under 2	x parte quayre, 1000 O.D. 11, 40	0.0.210.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 13 February 2002 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Authorice of References Cited (PTO-892) Paper No(s) Mail Date Paper No(s) Mail Date Paper No(s) Mail Date Paper No(s) Mail Date 10 ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application	Disposition of Claims							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 13 February 2002 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Authorice of References Cited (PTO-892) Paper No(s) Mail Date Paper No(s) Mail Date Paper No(s) Mail Date Paper No(s) Mail Date 10 ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application	4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application.							
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 13 February 2002 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray	·— · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
6) Claim(s) 1-25 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 13 February 2002 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of Profresperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application								
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 13 February 2002 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some older of None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Authorized Proved 13 Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Notice of Informal Patent Application	·							
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 13 February 2002 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 1) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)								
Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 13 February 2002 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application								
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 13 February 2002 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5/ <u></u> 5/5(5/							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 13 February 2002 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application	Application Papers							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 13 February 2002 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application	9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)								
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some col None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)								
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	12\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	priority under 35 LLS C & 119(a)	-(d) or (f)					
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 1 ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application								
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 1 ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application	·— <u> </u>	·- <u>-</u> ·-						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Attachment(s) 1 Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5 Notice of Informal Patent Application	<u> </u>							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. *Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) *Attachment(s) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	_ ·							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application	* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application								
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	Attachment(s)							
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)							
5) 🗖 "" or "								

Application/Control Number: 10/075,888 Page 2

Art Unit: 2426

DETAILED ACTION

1. In view of the Pre-Brief Conference request filed on 07/28/2010, PROSECUTION IS HEREBY REOPENED. New grounds of rejections set forth below.

To avoid abandonment of the application, appellant must exercise one of the following two options:

(1) file a reply under 37 CFR 1.111 (if this Office action is non-final) or a reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (if this Office action is final); or,

(2) initiate a new appeal by filing a notice of appeal under 37 CFR 41.31 followed by an appeal brief under 37 CFR 41.37. The previously paid notice of appeal fee and appeal brief fee can be applied to the new appeal. If, however, the appeal fees set forth in 37 CFR 41.20 have been increased since they were previously paid, then appellant must pay the difference between the increased fees and the amount previously paid.

A Supervisory Patent Examiner (SPE) has approved of reopening prosecution by signing below:

Status of Claims

2. Claims 1-25 are pending in this application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ullman et al (US 6,018,768) in view of Wu et al (US 6,326,982).

Regarding Claim 1, Ullman discloses a set-top box (STB) with a television and an auxiliary display device, with a method of changing program channels comprising:

- (a) the STB (FIG.5, 140; Col 10 lines 22-25; as an alternative embodiment for receiving video) transmitting current tuned channel information to the auxiliary display device (refer but not limited to Col 5 lines 45-48; Col 7 lines 42-53; Col 9 lines 4-20; currently tuned channel information is inherently transmitted to a internet connection such as modem in order to receive corresponding URLs from the internet);
- (b) the auxiliary display device determining a particular URL associated with the current tuned channel information utilizing the current tuned channel information provided by the STB (Col 5 lines 3-10; Col 7 lines 12-30; Col 9 lines 4-20; by receiving and storing channel related URL information from alternate internet in advance, the modem then is able to determine a URL from among stored URLs for various programs/channels based on the received channel related information such as time stamp); and
- (c) the auxiliary display device presenting the web content associated with the URL associated with the current tuned channel information on the display of the auxiliary display device (Col 9 lines 4-20; Col 10 lines 26-32; the modem then is able to display the web content on an alternative display device).

Ullman discloses transmitting tuned channel information to the internet connection device such as modem for receiving corresponding URLs for display but is not clear about transmitting the channel number to the internet connection device.

In an analogous art, Wu discloses using a channel parameter such as channel number at a certain time as a means to identify the corresponding Web address for internet access (Abstract).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Ullman's system to include a channel number as an alternative to identify the corresponding web site for auxiliary information access.

Alternatively, a person of skill in the art would have had good reasons to pursue the known options of using a channel number as an alternative for a selected program over a particular time period. It would require no more than "ordinary skill and common sense" to use the channel number as an alternative to represent a broadcast program.

Regarding Claim 2, Ullman further discloses the auxiliary display device presenting a hyperlink on the display, the hyperlink providing access to program channel data associated with a new tuned channel; and activating the hyperlink to change the current tuned channel to the new tuned channel (refer but not limited to Col 9 lines 20-23).

Regarding Claim 3, UIIman further discloses correlating the program channel data to a virtual channel map (VCM) stored in the STB (refer but not limited to Col 3 lines 52-55; Col 6 lines 44-48).

Regarding Claim 4, Ullman further discloses that a web browser residing in the auxiliary display device using the URL to access a web site, the web site providing the web content to be presented on the display of the auxiliary display device (refer but not limited to Col 3 lines 32-38).

Regarding Claim 5, Ullman further discloses step (a) is implemented in response to a user changing the current tuned channel (refer but not limited to Col 5 lines 62-67; Col 6 lines 1-4).

Regarding Claim 6, Ullman further discloses from Claim 1 that step (a) is implemented in response to a user playing back a previously recorded program viewed on the television, the recorded program including program channel data (refer but not limited to Col 10 lines 45-48).

Art Unit: 2426

Regarding Claim 7, Ullman discloses a STB in communication with a remote server, a television and an auxiliary display device, with a method of changing program channels comprising:

(a) receiving, at the STB, a virtual channel map (VCM) (Link File) from the remote server, the VCM including URL information associated with at least one program channel (refer but not limited to Col 3 lines 44-59); (b) the STB transmitting the VCM to the auxiliary display device (refer but not limited to Col 6 line 66 - Col 7 line 11; the STB inherently sending Link File containing URL information to internet connection device for processing in order to access the corresponding website); (c) storing the VCM in the auxiliary display device (then stores Link File containing URL information for processing); (d) the STB transmitting current tuned channel information to the auxiliary display device (the tuned channel information is then inherently transmitted to internet connection device in order to obtain corresponding URLs); (e) the auxiliary display device correlating the current tuned channel information to a particular URL contained in the VCM utilizing the current tuned channel information provided by the STB (refer but not limited to Col 6 lines 44-48; such as time stamp of the channel); and

(f) the auxiliary display device presenting web content associated with the particular URL associated with the current tuned channel on the display of the auxiliary display (refer but not limited to Col 3 lines 44-59; Col 6 lines 44-48).

Ullman discloses transmitting tuned channel information to the internet connection device such as modem for receiving corresponding URLs for display but is not clear about transmitting the channel number to the internet connection device.

In an analogous art, Wu discloses using a channel parameter such as channel number at a certain time as a means to identify the corresponding Web address for internet access (Abstract).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Ullman's system to include a channel number as an alternative to identify the corresponding web site for auxiliary information access.

Alternatively, a person of skill in the art would have had good reasons to pursue the known options of using a channel number as an alternative for a selected program over a particular time period. It would require no more than "ordinary skill and common sense" to use the channel number as an alternative to represent a broadcast program.

Regarding Claim 8, UIIman further discloses (g) the auxiliary display device presenting a hyperlink on the display of the auxiliary display device, the hyperlink providing access to program channel data associated with a new tuned channel; and (h) activating the hyperlink to change the current tuned channel to the new tuned channel (refer but not limited to Col 9 lines 20-23).

Regarding Claim 9, Ullman further discloses the program channel data is correlated to a VCM stored in the STB, and the STB changes the current tuned channel to the new tuned channel (refer but not limited to Col 3 lines 52-55).

Regarding Claim 10, Ullman further discloses step (e) comprising a web browser residing in the auxiliary display device using the particular URL to access a web site, the web site providing the web content to be presented on the display of the auxiliary display device (refer but not limited to Col 3 lines 32-38).

Regarding Claim 11, UIIman further discloses step (d) is implemented in response to a user changing the current tuned channel (Col 3 lines 44-55).

Regarding Claim 12, Ullman further discloses step (d) is implemented in response to a user playing back a previously recorded program viewed on the television, the recorded program including program channel data (Col 10 lines 45-49).

Regarding Claim 13, Ullman further discloses the STB transmits the current channel

information to the auxiliary display device via the remote server (Col 4 lines 19-23).

Page 7

Regarding Claim 14, the system claim limitations has been discussed with regards to the method claims of Claim 1.

Regarding Claim 15, Ullman further discloses (c) a wireless communication bridge, wherein the STB transmits the current tuned channel information to the auxiliary display device via the wireless communication bridge (FIGs. 1, 2 and 5).

Regarding Claim 16, Ullman further discloses the auxiliary display device presents a hyperlink on the display of the auxiliary display device, the hyperlink providing access to program channel data associated with a new tuned channel when activated (Col 9 lines 20-23).

Regarding Claim 17, Ullman further discloses the STB includes a virtual channel map (VCM), and the program channel data is correlated to the VCM (Col 3 lines 52-55; Col 6 lines 44-48).

Regarding Claim 18, Ullman further discloses the auxiliary display device further comprises a web browser used to access a web site based on the particular URL, the web site providing data to be presented on the display of the auxiliary display device (Col 3 lines 32-38).

Regarding Claim 19, Ullman further discloses the STB transmits current tuned channel information to the auxiliary display device in response to a user changing the current tuned channel (Col 5 lines 62-67; Col 6 lines 1-4).

Regarding Claim 20, Ullman further discloses the communications system is a cable television (CATV) system (FIG. 5; Col 4 lines 50-53).

Page 8

Regarding Claim 21, the system claim limitations has been discussed with regards to the method claims of Claim 7.

Regarding Claim 22, Ullman further discloses (d) a cable modem in communication with the remote server; and (e) a wireless communication bridge, wherein the STB transmits the current tuned channel information to the auxiliary display device via the remote server, the cable modem and the wireless communication bridge (Col 9 lines 63-67; the digital cable box 140 can make a wireless connection with the display unit).

Regarding Claim 23, UIIman further discloses the STB transmits current tuned channel information to the auxiliary display device in response to a user changing the current program channel (Col 3 lines 44-55).

Regarding Claim 24, Ullman further discloses the remote server is a cable head-end operated by a multiple system cable operator (MSO), the cable head-end comprising: (i) reverse data channel (RDC) equipment; (ii) a network control system (NCS); and (iii) a cable modem termination system (CMTS) (FIG. 5 is a diagram of another preferred embodiment including a digital cable box. FIG. 5 shows a digital back channel connecting from the digital cable box to content creation 4 which inherently is the cable head-end operated by a multiple system cable operator (MSO). The ordinary person in the art knows the digital cable head-end inherently includes (i) reverse data channel (RDC) equipment; (ii) a network control system (NCS); and (iii) a cable modem termination system (CMTS)).

Regarding Claim 25, Ullman further discloses the communications system is a cable television (CATV) system (FIG. 5 is a diagram of another preferred embodiment including a digital cable box).

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-25 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

5. Claims 1-25 are rejected.

Correspondence Information

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to FRED PENG whose telephone number is (571)270-1147. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 09:30-19:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Joseph Hirl can be reached on (571) 272-3685. The fax phone number for the organization where this
application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/075,888 Page 10

Art Unit: 2426

/Fred Peng/

Examiner, Art Unit 2426

/Joseph P. Hirl/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2426

December 3, 2010